# Curriculum and Instruction at East

Tenets 3 & 4

Susan Meier Ed.D
Chief Academic Officer, East EPO
Assistant Professor, Warner Graduate School of Education



# Agenda

- (2) Welcome and agenda overview
- (20) Overview of program, broad outline
- (5) Booklet: select area of interest: what do you want to know more about?
- (5) Dots
- (18) Speak to top areas of interest, questions interspersed



# What do you want to know?

A little bit about everything

Learning principles

Instructional model

Lesson Planning

Curriculum Development and Implementation

Performance Assessment System

**Professional Learning** 

Teacher Leadership

**Targeted Interventions** 

[separate sessions: Support Model, Behavior

Management, Literacy program]



### Introduction: Sue Meier

- English teacher '80-'89 Greece, Churchville-Chili
- Asst principal '89-'95 Greece Hoover/Odyssey
- Founding non traditional secondary school
- Principal '95-'99 Hilton Middle School
- Asst Supt '99-'01 Monroe2-Orleans BOCES
- Principal '01-'14 Odyssey
- Chief Academic Officer, Asst Prof. '14-present



# Theory of Practice

Constructivism
Teaching for understanding
Evidence-based practices
Inquiry
Professional Learning Communities
Learning theory
See East Learning Principles, p



# Context: see history handout

December 2013 RCSD BOE & UR President

Fight to keep East open

Draft Steve Uebbing to be director, declined...

August 2014 interest meetings

September 2014 observations, data collection

Fall 2014: subject specific task forces

December 2014 Plan submitted

January- February 2015 Plan approved

Spring 2015: hire faculty

May 2015: Shaun Nelms superintendent



# Role of the University

Strong alliance UR President and RCSD BOE President: commitment to East

Implementation: Uebbing, a couple of support staff, me, and Warner School faculty members donating their time



### How: the broad strokes

Guaranteed and viable curriculum Performance assessment system Quality initial instruction



# How: the broad strokes

- Curriculum adapting, adopting, creating
- Instruction inquiry, evidence-based practices
- Continuous professional learning
- Expanded teacher leadership
- Academic support (additional periods, Support Model)
- Long term, significant relationships with key consultants
- Schedule that accommodates this
- Foundation of Learning Principles



### **Evolution of the Initiative**

2014-2015 Planning Year 2015-2016 Year One



Write & implement UbD Units: emphasis stage 1 Instructional Foundations especially targets Lesson plan requirement; collaborative planning

### 2016-2017 Year Two

UbD Units: emphasis stage 2 assessment Learning Targets take two; alignment & assessment

#### 2017-2018 Year Three

UbD units: stage 3, and performance assessments
Deliberate Practice: depth topics; collaborative lesson planning



# The System We Are Creating

Mission Guaranteed & Vision **NYS Learning Standards** and Viable **Disciplinary Long Term Goals** Curriculum **Graduation & Unit NYS Regents Performance** Exams Assessments **East Learning Principles Unit Plans** Stage One Stage Two Stage Three **Daily Lesson Plans** Learning Evidence of **Understanding** Sequence **Target** Learning of Learning



### SUBJECT





Social studies 6-8

Social Stud. 9-12

Science

Math

English 6-8

English 9-12

Literacy

Music

CTE – medical

Eng as New Lang

World Languages

Art

Original

Original

**Adapting** 

**Adopting** 

**Adopting** 

**Adapting** 

Hybrid

**Adapting** 

**Original** 

**Original** 

**Adapting** 

**Adapting** 

Warner Faculty Support

External Consultant Support

Center Support, coaching

Major Center Support

Major Ext Consultant Support

External Consultant Support

Variety of commercial supports

Eastman School support

Warner Faculty Support

RBERN Support

Some consultant support

Some consultant support



### **Every Unit**

- We defined the relationship of the mission to long term goal.
- We defined the understandings developed and the knowledge and skills required.
- Which includes multiple assessments including a curriculum embedded performance assessment to show those understandings.
- We defined a series of learning experiences and embedded assessments with feedback.



## Performance Assessment System

Every 6-12 or 7-12 <u>program</u> defines TWO CEPTs -one at lower school and one at upper school – with the ones at US being graduation requirements.

Lower school = practice for upper school

Every Graduation CEPT can be explicitly mapped back to the mission – at the bulleted level

Graduation CEPTs ensure every aspect of our mission is assessed



	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
ELA	Showcase CEPT				Graduation Req CEPT		
Soc St			Showcase CEPT				Grad R CEPT
World Languag			Showcas e CEPT	GradReq CEPT			
The Arts				Grad	duation Red	quirement (	CEPT
Math		Showcase CEPT				Grad Req CEPT	
Science				Grad Req CEPT			
CTE			Showcas e CEPT	Grad	duation Red	quirement (	CEPT
PE	Showcase CEPT			Grad	duation Red	quirement (	CEPT
Health		Showcse CEPT		Grad	duation Rec	quirement (	CEPT

At East we are taking charge of our future by being tenacious, thinking purposefully, and advocating for self and others.

#### BE TENACIOUS

#### Attributes of a scholar who is tenacious:

- Recognizes and takes advantages of 0 opportunities (can do attitude) to discover passions/interests
- Defines goals and develops a plan to meet 0 them
- Sets short term goals knowing they will 0 lead to long term success
- Accesses resources necessary to get job 0 done - multiple resources if necessary
- Is determined to achieve goals 0
- Learns from mistakes; picks up and keeps 0 going
- Uses feedback to refine thinking or actions 0

Take risks in order to learn and grow 0

#### THINK PURPOSEFULLY

Attributes of a scholar who thinks purposefully:

- Focuses on the task at hand to get the job 0 done
- Reflects on one's own thinking and the thinking of others to inform future actions
- Thinks creatively and critically to solve 0 problems, make decisions or take action
- Critically questions to refine or extend 0 understanding
- Listens to and seeks out varying 0 perspectives as part of thinking, decision making and problem solving
- Uses foundational knowledge and essential literacies to develop deeper understandings
- Produces work that meets college and work o 0 place standards

#### ADVOCATE FOR SELF AND OTHERS

Attributes of a scholar who advocates for self and others:

- Respects and cares for others and works to 0 build relationships
- Accepts differences and listens to the voice of others
- Identifies and utilizes skills to support self 0 and others globally
- Speaks confidently and is willing to 0 respectfully voice opinions to advocate for self or others
- Works collaboratively to achieve a goal or effect change
- Leads by example 0

- Embraces change; is open minded 0
- Communicates effectively for different purposes and audiences through a variety

<ul> <li>Seeks to understand the role of culture in of media</li> <li>shaping an individual</li> </ul>							
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
ELA	Showcase CEPT				Graduation Req CEPT		
Soc St			Showcase CEPT				Grad R CEPT
<b>World Languag</b>			Showcase CEPT	GradReq CEPT			
The Arts				Graduation Requirement CEPT			
Math		Showcase CEPT				Grad Req CEPT	
Science				Grad Req CEPT			
СТЕ			Showcase CEPT	Graduation Requirement CEPT			
PE	Showcase CEPT			Graduation Requirement CEPT			
Health		Showcse		Graduation Requirement CEPT			

At East we are taking charge of our future by being tenacious, thinking purposefully, and advocating for self and others.

#### **BE TENACIOUS**

#### Attributes of a scholar who is tenacious:

- Recognizes and takes advantages of opportunities (can do attitude) to discover passions/interests
  - Defines goals and develops a plan to meet them
- Sets short term goals knowing they will lead to long term success
  - Accesses resources necessary to get job done – multiple resources if necessary is determined to achieve goals

0

0

- Learns from mistakes; picks up and keeps going
- Uses feedback to refine thinking or actions
- o Take risks in order to learn and grow

#### THINK PURPOSEFULLY

Attributes of a scholar who thinks purposefully:

- o Focuses on the task at hand to get the job done
- Reflects on one's own thinking and the thinking of others to inform future actions
   Thinks creatively and critically to solve problems, make decisions or take action
   Critically questions to refine or extend
- understanding
  Listens to and seeks out varying
  perspectives as part of thinking, decision
  making and problem solving
  - Uses foundational knowledge and essential literacies to do clop deeper
- understandings

  Produces work that meets college and work

  place standards
  - Seeks to inderstand the role of curryre in shaping an individual

#### ADVOCATE FOR SELF AND OTHERS

Attributes of a scholar who advocates for self and others:

- Respects and cares for others and works to build relationships
- Accepts differences and listens to the voice of others
- Identifies and utilizes skills to support self and others globally
- Speaks confidently and is willing to respectfully voice opinions to advocate for self or others
- Works collaboratively to achieve a goal or effect change
- Lead: by example
  - Embraces change; is open minded Communicates effectively for different purposes and audiences through a variety of media

		7	8	9	2 11 12
ELA	Showerse CEPT			Graduation R	eq LEPT
Soc St			Showcasa CEPT		Grad R CEPT
World Languag			Sho vcase CEPT	Grad eq CEPT	
The Arts				Gra	duction Requirement CERT
Math		Showcase (EPT		$\setminus \times \setminus$	Grad Reg CEPT
Science	$\setminus$			Grad Reg CEP1	
СТЕ			Showcase CEPT	Gra	uation Requirement CEPT

### **Performance Assessment System**

Graduation Requirement CEPT

### Instructional Framework:





### Year One: Overview

- Learning intentions
- Assessment of learning
- Deliberate practice
- Level of challenge
- Feedback
- Reflection and collaboration



### Year One: Lesson Plans Posted

### **Lesson Plan Minimum:**

- Understandings
- Learning targets
- Evidence of learning & Criteria for assessment
- Sequence of instruction
- Label acquisition, meaning-making, or transfer



### Year two: Learning Targets & Assessment

### **Learning targets**

- Aligned and in trajectory of learning
- Important and right sized
- Learning centered
- Specific and contextualized
- Assessable
- Level of challenge can be deduced from target
- Feedback on LT occurs during lesson
- Requires collaboration and/or reflection



### Year two: Learning Targets & Assessment

### **Assessment**

- In class daily: multiple CFUs
- Common formative assessments 6x a year
- Curriculum embedded performance assessments (CEPTs) every unit
- One CEPT per year: external audience



### Year two: Evidence of Learning

### **Assessment**

- Individual evidence of learning is defined prior to the lesson and is collected or orchestrated.
- Criteria for success are defined, shared, and applied.
- Models and examples are provided.
- The level of challenge and evidence are appropriate for the grade level and aligned to LT and activities.



### Year three: Sequence of Learning

### **Lesson Quality Checklist**

- Understandings
- Learning targets
- Evidence of learning
- Sequence of instruction



### Year three: Sequence of Learning

- The lesson activates prior knowledge.
- The students are engaged in the thinking; the teacher is not doing the thinking for the students.
- The lesson is logically sequenced to produce learning at the correct level of challenge. Note: the sequence of instruction includes both planned questions and scaffolds.
- MAC protocols are used where appropriate.
- Students talk to construct understanding.
- Students will get feedback on their progress toward the LT during the lesson.
- Reflection on learning (metacognition) is built in. Students think about their thinking.
- Closure (summary) consolidates knowledge at end of lesson and provides more evidence of learning.



### How: the broad strokes refined

### Guaranteed and viable curriculum

teacher leaders, extensive professional learning, use of consultants, collaborating

Performance assessment system

professional learning, consultants, CPT

**Quality initial instruction** 

professional learning, coaching, academic intervention services in tiers, special education, services for ELLs



### Continuous professional learning

- •Extensive Summer Professional Time: 10-20 days: 5 days curriculum writing, plus: restorative practices, family group, and support.
- Collaborative Planning Time: 50 minutes every other day facilitated by teacher leaders
- •Coaching, learning walks, additional PD time: All faculty meetings devoted to Learning Targets, half day release days, supt conf days, schoolwide PL Committee, teacher leaders as coaches, walk throughs with admin and TLs; expectation of coaching cycles



### **Expanded Teacher Leadership**

- •Every curriculum are has an instructional coach: some have two; some are 6-12
- •Specialized TL training: Center for Professional Development at Warner, ongoing coaching all year: content-focused coaching model
- •TLs responsible for: coaching cycles, leading CPT, assessment creation, curriculum writing, leading curriculum week in summer; exam administration, data analysis, demo lessons



### Multi-tiered Support System

- •Tier I: quality initial instruction
  - 80% effort; least costly
- •Tier II: built in supports
  - 15% effort: medium costs
- Tier III: targeted supports
  - − 5% effort: most costly





### Special Education & ENL Services

- •Modifications required in plans See Lesson Quality Checklist
- Co-teaching and co-planning
- •ENL services

Note: we also have a Bilingual Program



### **Key Consultants**

- •Center: professional learning for TLs, coaching cycles, on site coaches for math and science, ENL
- Center: Julie Kopp: chief curriculum consultant for UbD, mission process
- •Warner: support for social studies, literacy
- •EL: supports Managing the Active Classroom
- •PIRI: restorative practices; train the trainer
- Monroe 1 BOCES: English, social studies
- Sonia James-Wilson: Culturally responsive pedagogy
- •RBERN: Spanish language arts, ENL



### Schedule



- Ten Periods (5x5 AB day): enables double periods for some years and courses plus electives plus Support plus CPTs
- •Collaborative Planning Time subject specific: every teacher has CPT every other day 72 minutes
- •IDCPT grade level specific: every teachers have IDCPT every other day for 72 minutes

The schedule is critical for co-planning, for support, for coaching.



### Academic Support: Tier II

- Additional periods: double math and literacy grades six through nine
- •Support Model: additional periods in all students' schedules with teachers, volunteers, aides, and other students to complete work which supports performance in the core
- Developing: response to data, targeted mini lessons, language dives



### **Academic Support: Tier III**

- •Support Model: more support periods possible for selected students
- Targeted interventions: piloting: individual student interventions developed based on data; schedules changed; teachers re-assigned
- •Next year: daily READ180 for 72 minutes a day for basic and below readers grades 9-12 in addition to ELA class



### Literacy Program Three Levels

- •Level 1: Writers' and Readers' Workshop for all students near or at grade level
- •Level 2: Houghton Mifflin's READ180 (Universal) grades 6-9
- Level 3: Literacy interventions provided to individuals or very small groups in separately scheduled support periods

These correspond to MTSS



### What We've Noticed

Major problem areas

**Tensions** 

Collaborative planning

The status quo.

Context matters

Sustained change



### **Academic Progress**

#### **East Historical Math Results by Cohort**

Cohort:	2016	2013	
	(EPO)	(pre-EPO)	
Freshman Year Results	45.34%	27.47%	

### **East Historical ELA Results by Cohort**

Cohort:	2014	2011	
	(EPO)	(pre-EPO)	
Junior Year Results	35.58%	29.62%	

#### **East Historical Science Results by Cohort**

Cohort:	2016	2013
	(EPO)	(pre-EPO)
Freshman Year Results	24.84%	24.50%

#### **East Historical USHG Results by Cohort**

Cohort:	2014	2011
	(EPO)	(pre-EPO)
Junior Year Results	32.69%	29.32%

#### **East Historical Global Results by Cohort**

Cohort:	2015	2012	
	(EPO)	(pre-EPO)	
Sophomore Year Results	21.63%	6.39%	

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

### **Progression Towards Graduation**



(Post Aug Exams)

Graduation

rate for

2012

Cohort

**2015-16** (Pre Jan Exams)

Projected graduation rate for 2012

Cohort **19%** 

**2016-17** (Post Aug Exams)

Graduation rate for 2013 Cohort

45%

2017-18

(Post Aug Exams)

Projected Graduation

Rate

2014

Cohort (2017-18)

*55-60%* 







### Discussion

See topics you selected.



# Take Aways

What are implications for your own work?

Have you heard anything or seen anything else you might want to know more about or to use?



### Key references

Bransford, J., Brown, A., Cocking, R. eds (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Berger, R., Rugen, L., Woodfin, L. (2014). *Leaders of their own learning: Transforming schools through student-engaged assessment*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. New York: Routledge.

Hattie, J. (2012). Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning. London: Routledge.

Marzano, Pickering, & Pollock (2001). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement.* ASCD: Alexandria, VA.

Petty, G. (2009). Evidence-based teaching: A practical approach. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Cheltenham, UK: Nelson Thornes.

Robinson, V. M. J., Lloyd, C. A., & Rowe, K. J. (2008, December). "The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types." *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44(5), 635-674.

Silver, H. F., Strong, R. W., & Perini, M. J. (2007). The strategic teacher: Selecting the right research-based strategy for every lesson. Alexandria, VA: ASCD

Wiliam, D. (2011). "Providing Feedback that Moves Learning Forward" in *Embedded Formative Assessment*. Bloomington, Indiana: Solution Tree.

Wiggins, G. & McTighe, J. (2011). The Understanding by Design Guide to Creating High-Quality Units. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Wiggins, G. & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by Design (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.)*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

